

MINUTES
BAYFIELD COUNTY PLANNING / ZONING COMMITTEE
PUBLIC HEARING / MEETING
Thursday, October 21, 2010 – 1:00 PM
Board Room – County Courthouse, Washburn, WI 54891

1. **Call to Order of Public Hearing:** *By Chairman Brett Rondeau at 1:04 PM.*
2. **Roll Call:** *Jardine, Miller, Rantala, Rondeau, present; Maki- absent.*
3. **Affidavit of Publication:** *Presented by Director Kastrosky.*
4. **Review of Meeting Format:** *By Chairman Rondeau.*
5. **Public Hearing:**

- A. **Robert / Linda Plucinak Conditional Use Request: Exceed 35' Height Restriction** (by seven ft. in shoreland zone). Property is 1.64-acre parcel (ID# 04-006-2-50-04-22-4-00-257-02000), Lot 1 CSM 1692, Section 22, Township 50 N, Range 4 W, Town of Bayfield.

*Architect Ray Blesener reported the average height is just under 34 feet, building is set into the slope to minimize it and noted there are other two-story homes close to the same height in the area. No one else spoke in support or opposition. **File report K.Kastrosky:** Town approved, **noting a condition** that the bldg. is not to exceed 42' from lowest elevation and not to exceed 35 ft. from highest elevation at grade; Town's Comp. Plan language supports/concurs w/ condition of approval. **Kastrosky** said Pike's Bay sanitary district will serve the home.*

- B. **Donald / Cynthia Landon Conditional Use Request: Exceed 35' Height Restriction** (by 17 ft.) in shoreland zone. Property is 1.19-acre parcel (ID# 04-006-2-50-03-06-3-05-004-23000), Lot 2 CSM 1574, Section 6, Township 50 N, Range 3 W, Town of Bayfield.

*Steve Scanlan (Bayfield / neighbor of applicant) spoke in support; said since application height was revised to 44 ft., main body of house is 35 ft above grade, the 9 ft. height of walkout basement brings total height to 44 ft.; the tower in original plans has been modified to be the same height as the rest of the house. He noted it was approved by the Planning Commission & Town Board. He said due to the lay of the land / vegetation the walkout will not be visible from the lake or surrounding properties. **Other Support / Opposition:** none. **File Report:** **Kastrosky** reiterated the fact that the 52 ft. height has now been reduced as noted and read the Town's conditions as follows: The bldg. is not to exceed 44 ft. from lowest elevation and not to exceed 35 ft. from highest elevation at grade. The Comp. Plan's language supports / concurs w/ condition of approval.*

- C. **Craig / Billie Hoopman Conditional Use Request: Operate Fish Processing Business** (in existing 2,400 sq. ft. building) on 11.92-acre parcel (ID# 04-006-2-50-04-01-1-00-128-02000), described as Bayfield Peninsula Fruit Lands Co. Plat, Lot 2, Section 1, Township 50 N, Range 4 W, Town of Bayfield.

*Billie / Craig Hoopman noted he is commercial fisherman; they want to expand their fishing business, moving from the City of Bayfield into the Township into an existing building. No one else spoke in support or opposition. **File Report:** **Kastrosky** noted Town approval was received with the following **conditions:** owners shall have well and sanitary permits for project prior to [their] recommendation being valid; parcel is within Pike's Bay Sanitary District; owners shall meet w/ sanitary district commissioner(s) re sanitary permits. **Kastrosky** said he did not completely*

understand the Town's conditions. **Craig Hoopman** said their application has been sent to the sanitary district however, their plan is to install a well and expect they will have to install a sanitary system on their property. **AZA Doug Casina** noted the building on the property has been permitted, however, a lean-to and another addition was added without a permit.

- D. CFS, LLC Conditional Use Request: Construct / Operate Private Airstrip & 20 Hangars** (described as a proposed 4000 x 60 foot runway running north and south (18/36)) on a 5.71-acre parcel; **also included in this request are 20 proposed hangars** (footprint of the average 60x60 hangars would encompass (60x60x20/43560 sq ft per acre) on a 1.65-acre parcel. Property is described as through western portions of the following parcels: the NW ¼ of the NW ¼ (part of parcel ID# 04-046-2-51-04-33-2 02-000-10000); the SW ¼ of the NW ¼ (part of parcel ID 04-046-2-51-04-33-2-03-000-10000); and the NW ¼ of the SW ¼ (part of ID# 04-046-2-51-04-33-3-02-000-10000); all in Section 33, Township 51 N, Range 4 W, Town of Russell, and the SW ¼ of the SW ¼ (part of parcel ID # 04-046-2-51-04-28-3-03-000-10000), Section 28, Township 51 N, Range 4 W, Town of Russell.

Annalisa Cariveau (CFS, LLC representative) noted this project was formerly Shadow Wood Landing; changes have been made in two years, the location has been moved where there is less impact on top of a hill; runway length / width has been reduced to minimum allowed w/ FFA; they are attempting to be as considerate of neighbors as possible.

Support:

Frank Graves (Bayfield Twshp.) believes venture will create jobs, provide 'jump start' to economic development, bring new people / tourists.

[Applicant's] **Atty. Steve Katkof** (Mpls.) noted Town of Russell approval; licensed, registered engineers & architects prepared the plans; it will not be a public airport, is designed for single engines and no business-type aircraft will be able to use the airstrip. A map was presented showing fly zones of approach / takeoff; it was noted that FFA monitors flying over national park areas w/ the ceiling set by them. Flight patterns haven't been officially been set at this time but will be over the least-populated area / county forest land.

Cary Bouchard (Bayview Twshp.) spoke in support noting this is similar to a case in the Town of Bayview a few years ago where many opposing misleading facts were expressed. He believes approval would be good for economic development in Bayfield County and urged that facts be considered.

Opposition:

Jon Dale (Bayfield Twshp.): Is threatened by proposed projects one-half mile away w/ negative impact to his business (provides 28 employees/four families w/ income). Concerned about their cutting/opening up top of hill.

Richard Bowker (Russell Twshp.): Expressed concern re damage from project; will need an access road, much fill required for airstrip; will involve wetland area.

David Martinson: (Russell) Requested denial; many changes and conflict brought in recent years w/ this proposal.

Ann Bowker (Russell): (Noted she was speaking in opposition 'solely for herself, not the Land Use Plan Commission of which she is a member.) Presented concerns/opposition in a letter handed to the Committee noting the Town allowed five persons to speak, each for three minutes, stating others should speak at the Zoning Committee meeting.

Sam Atkins (Russell): Believes project is not compatible; will cause economic fragmentation w/ only a small pool of people served; concerned it will further depress the housing market; noise, lighting, air pollution, degrading of natural resources all factors; requested denial.

Russell Klinger (Russell): As 30-yr. adjacent property resident, opposed, asked for denial; concerns that proposed jobs won't be for local people; requested Committee to consider those w/ permanent homes who are all opposed, rather than a 'future community'; asked Committee if they could live next to an airstrip.

Theron O'Connor (Bayfield Twshp.): Has business in Bayfield, concerned "real jobs" won't materialize; concerned for Pike's Creek watershed, lights & noise, real estate agents having to notify potential buyers of airstrip.

Tessa Levens (Russell): Said anguish and anger in community because of this proposal and Comp Plan prohibits the project- is not consistent.

Eric Carlson (Bayfield Twshp. resident / business owner): lives approx. two miles from proposal; would conflict w/ his fruit business and selling 'the experience' of a pristine / natural setting; planes would negatively impact that and their industry; asked the Committee not to don't bend the law which is already written; seeks 'intelligent development'.

Eli Klinger (Russell): Urged Committee not to betray the residents; airstrip not compatible w/ town use plan; would be environmental disaster, disturbance to adjacent property owners.

Tom Galazen (Bayfield Twshps.) Lives close to project (also land owner in Russell) directly in line w/ projected air traffic; concerned for loss of peace/quiet, health/safety, negative impact; concerned about pollution.

Jeff Silbert (Washburn): Is opposed as a lawsuit is pending, urged the Committee wait for that determination; only 1-2 private citizens in favor, every hearing has 20+ others speaking against; asked that their voices be 'heard' and deny request.

Tom Frizzell: (Bayfield Twshp.) Lives close to project; complaint from Citizens for Responsible Land Use have pending court hearing Feb., 2011; there are two pending issues being considered by Judge Anderson; DNR has jurisdiction over wetlands and that decision could take several months. Requested postponement until reports / ruling are in. Feels this should be postponed until reports are in and judge has ruled.

Bill West (City of Bayfield): Believes it's wrong to give consideration to people who would have to drive 15-30 minutes to visit Bayfield (from other area airports) over those current residents.

Deanna Erickson (Seasonal Bayfield resident/kayak guide): Considers area to be exceptional; people come here to regenerate; proposed project does not contribute to reasons people come here/reason she is here.

Fred Bruney (Russell): Moved here for quietness-- project is not in keeping w/ what this area is about; asked for denial.

Frances Johnson (Russell): Concerned about reasons this hasn't been decided yet; requested they consider the current residents of Russell; concerned that 'locals' will move out. Asked the Committee to consider placing a bond on this, that job promises will be honored, and the project be completed in five years.

Grant Herman (Sea Kayak Business / Russell): Spoke in defense in Gaylord Nelson Wilderness area / Apostle Islands; [his] business is possible because of the national wilderness designation; believes noise from proposed project will detract and significantly impact his business; supports others who want to maintain the integrity of the area.

Mary Defoe (Russell) Noted the Applicant has said the airstrip would benefit medical needs, however, "for around 20 years Life Flight has landed in Russell.

Kathy Wendling (Russell) Concerned re flight path, noise—high decibel level, jet capabilities. Said it is against goals of Town and Comp Plan, is a health hazard.

Nancy Trapp (Russell): Has had business in City [Bayfield] 15+ years; asked the Town to complete infrastructure costs but it was not done; proposed airport is inconsistent w/ Town's Comp Plan.

Sheree Bye (Delta): Urged Committee to require an EIA; to table until all facts are known and wait for the DNR's permitting before making a decision.

Gina McCafferty; beautiful area requested no vote.

Larry Fickbalm (Port Wing): Concerned this "unique tourism spot" will become closer to the Dells, Hayward, etc. if project becomes reality.

Susan Lee (Washburn): Moved to this area however doesn't believe she will stay if the airport comes in.

Ivan Welander (Contractor, Town of Clover): Asked for 'no vote'; does not believe there will be economic benefit for him from the project but is concerned about the local people who are his customers.

Dana Churness (Clover Twshp.) Has moved to the area; has many of same previously addressed concerns.

Beth Meyers (Russell; prop. owner in cities of Bayfield / Washburn, business owner; on Bayfield County Board): Urged the Committee to keep the pending lawsuit in mind when deciding this request.

Bill Bussey (Bayfield Twshp.) Said this is an unprecedented case, is located in Russell but "one step across the south boundary is [Town of] Bayfield"; air traffic pattern is across Town of Bayfield and residents are affected as much as, or more than Russell. Is not consistent w/ Town's plan. Is concerned that all information is not before the Committee, full scope is not known; this request is not consistent w/ promoting the general welfare of a unique part of the County; a solid majority is opposed.

No others came forward to speak in opposition; **Rondeau** asked if the Committee had questions. **Supervisor Jardine** to adjourn the public hearing at 2:49 PM, but withdrew that motion to allow for possible rebuttal time. After brief discussion the decision was made to limit rebuttal and/or questions to the person(s) directed to by the Committee. **Jardine** said more than three minutes should be allowed for rebuttal as it would possibly cover a multitude of comments. **Rondeau** asked the Committee for comments/questions.

Supervisor Jardine addressed **Ms. Cariveau** stating he believes that 'spot zoning' was already addressed in another meeting and believes it is not spot zoning; he also asked about the comp plan. **Cariveau** when most recent rezone was addressed by the County, there were also four conditions of approval for the land use change which spoke to comprehensive plan issues they must be committed and not waiver from. She also noted the vote at last Town meeting was 4 to 0 and one of their reasons of approval is it needs to be consistent with their comp. plan. **Cariveau** also said the 'court case' has come up many times, however, she could not comment as they are not 'party to information on it'; it is a separate issue and regarding this application and their former request, it complies with zoning in both cases. She stated they are working with the DNR to address issues they deal with.

Jardine also asked for clarification on open space, stormwater flow, and questioned what was used to determine economic impact figures [that had been presented]. **Cariveau** said information was based on a 2007 Hayward area 'second home' survey to estimate annual spending generated by those homeowners; that estimated figure (of \$17,000 ea. x 25) was used for their estimate. **Jardine** asked a question re flight zone/air traffic patterns. **Cariveau** said flights will be to the west, primarily over the Chequamegon Nicolet National Forest not over Town or City of Bayfield directly. **Jardine** noted Madeline airport has 22 flights per day, Ashland 36, and this is estimated at 10 per week. **Cariveau** noted those are published statistics of the FAA and theirs is an estimate based upon it being only a private airport.

Supervisor Miller asked for clarification re the Town's vote (an earlier speaker stated it was not). **Cariveau** said it was a four-to-one vote with one abstention. [Someone from in the audience disagreed with that statement, however, comment was not clear, nor was it on audio recording.] **Director Kastrosky** reported Town "approval" was received, with the 'yes box' checked stating it was reviewed for compatibility with their comprehensive and/or land use plan. Town notation on the form is as follows: "consistent with comp plan & past discussions and decisions of the Town Board". The form does not show whether the vote was unanimous but is signed by the chairman and four supervisors.

Miller questioned the 12 points which must be covered in a conditional use request noting the Applicant listed them as items 'A through K' rather than 1-12, however, that equals 11 points; he asked if an item was missed or was doubled up to cover all twelve points. **Cariveau** apologized, and stated some items consisted of two pages, each section ending with findings and fact for each.

John Spangberg (DNR / Ashland) was present and asked if he was willing to answer questions. **Miller** asked about moving the runway to the top of the hill and wetland issues. **Spangberg** stated there is a lot involved in the process but he would give "the short answer". Under wetland laws, they [applicant] must have no other practical alternative to their project, and they have to avoid and minimize. The first thing, they had to look if they had an alternative; there is nothing else they could use so they met that criteria. The second thing is to avoid / minimize and that's the reason they moved up the hill and reduced the size; the proposed wetlands fill is then "down to about eight tenths of an acre" from 5-1/2 acres. **Spangberg** said as submitted now he cannot currently approve this because the runway layout intercepts all of the water from the west running to three ravines and wetlands. Next week he will further review the wetland situation and possible diversion issues. He said Zoning Dept. and DNR have two separate issues/processes in the application; in his [DNR] case the applicant needs to show a lot of 'engineering' yet and added it is "not approvable today, tomorrow maybe".

Supervisor Jardine asked if the Zoning Committee approved the request and the DNR denied it, would the county approval then be void. **Spangberg** said it he doesn't believe the DNR decision has the capability of voiding the [Zoning] decision but would mean the runway couldn't be built as planned. **Miller** asked if they can make a decision solely based on the conditional use and disregard the wetland issue. **Kastrosky** said the Committee can do that, however, the Department cannot issue the permit until all other permits and/or requirements are in place. If there are wetland issues not resolved, we can't issue the permit; they [Committee] may place conditions that all applicable provisions are in place, etc. prior to permits and/or any constructions commencing.

Being no further questions by the Committee, **Chairman Rondeau** asked for adjournment.

6. **Adjournment of Public Hearing: Motion by Jardine / Rantala** at 3:05 to adjourn. **Rondeau** asked for withdrawal of the motion as the file report was not yet given. **Miller** reminded them they needed to first consider the motion to adjourn on the floor. Vote was taken: 4 no / 0 yes to adjourn at that time; motion carried / public hearing not adjourned.

File Report: **Kastrosky** noted he had previously reported the Town's approval / reasons for. He addressed file letters, which the Committee also had in their packets. A three page letter from **David Good** noted past intergovernmental cooperation between the Town of Russell and Bayfield. Russell has extended an offer to the Town of Bayfield to meet to discuss matters of mutual concern, however, to date no response has been received. **Letters/E-Mails of opposition are on file from:** Casey Behrend & Ellen Jo Sullivan; Russell Klinger, Debra Klinger, Leo & Rosalie Coombs, Max Karl, Lauri Benton, Christine Cole, Nicholas Segner, Anne Rumsey, Jeff Silbert, Robert Krumenaker (on behalf of the Dept. of Interior talking about the National Park Service).

Motion by **Jardine / Rantala** - 3:09 PM; carried 4 yes / 0 no. **Rondeau** called for a break.

7. **Call to Order of Planning / Zoning Committee Meeting:** At 3:22 PM by Chairman Rondeau.
8. **Roll Call:** Jardine, Maki, Miller, Rantala, Rondeau- present; Maki absent.
9. **Minutes of Previous Meeting(s):** Motion to approve Sept. 16, 2010 minutes as prepared by **Jardine / Rantala**; carried 4 yes / 0 no.
10. **Business:**

- A. **Robert / Linda Plucinak Conditional Use Request: Exceed 35' Height Restriction** (by seven ft. in shoreland zone). Property is 1.64-acre parcel (ID# 04-006-2-50-04-22-4-00-257-02000), Lot 1 CSM 1692, Section 22, Township 50 N, Range 4 W, Town of Bayfield.

*Motion by **Jardine / Rantala** to approve with the Town's conditions: (1. Building is not to exceed 42 ft. from lowest elevation and not to exceed 35 ft. from the highest elevation at grade. Average height is 33.91 ft. from grade. 2. Comprehensive Plan for Town of Bayfield has language that supports / concurs with this condition of approval.) Following discussion, motion was amended by Jardine / Rantala to also include: 'the Town indicated they reviewed the request for compatibility with their comprehensive plan'. Motion carried 4 yes/ 0 no.*

- B. **Donald / Cynthia Landon Conditional Use Request: Exceed 35' Height Restriction** (by 17 ft.) in shoreland zone. Property is 1.19-acre parcel (ID# 04-006-2-50-03-06-3-05-004-23000), Lot 2 CSM 1574, Section 6, Township 50 N, Range 3 W, Town of Bayfield.

***Kastrosky** asked for clarification/confirmation that the request was for downsized from 52 ft. **AZA Doug Casina** said it has been downsized to 44 ft. since the application was submitted. It was noted it has a walkout basement and the tower is now gone from the plans. **Kastrosky** said Town of Bayfield approved with conditions (1. Building is not to exceed 44 ft from lowest elevation and not to exceed 35 ft. from highest elevation at grade. 2. The comprehensive Plan for the Town of Bayfield has language that supports and concurs with this condition of approval.) and noted it is compatible with their Plan. **Miller / Rantala** moved to approve with Town conditions, making note it is compatible with the Town's Plan; carried 4 yes / 0 no.*

- C. **Craig / Billie Hoopman Conditional Use Request: Operate Fish Processing Business** (in existing 2,400 sq. ft. building) on 11.92-acre parcel (ID# 04-006-2-50-04-01-1-00-128-02000), described as Bayfield Peninsula Fruit Lands Co. Plat, Lot 2, Section 1, Township 50 N, Range 4 W, Town of Bayfield.

***AZA Casina** said the Hoopmans have been previously granted a 60x40 storage building but need a permit to convert to commercial use and must obtain permits for 'after-the-fact' additions they placed on the structure. **Kastrosky** reported Town approval is in noting it is consistent with other area uses, was reviewed for compatibility w/ their Town Plan and placed the following conditions: 1. Owners shall have well and sanitary permits for project prior to this recommendation being valid; 2. Parcel is within Pikes Bay Sanitary District. Owners shall meet with Pikes Bay Sanitary District commission(ers) regarding sanitary permits. **Kastrosky** suggested conditions might be Pikes Bay input, contingent upon proper land use for buildings and structures, when accomplished can issue for fish processing. Motion by **Jardine / Rantala** to approve with Town conditions and contingent upon Hoopmans meeting with Pike's Bay Sanitary District for their determination, obtain proper land use permits for buildings and structures, prior to permit being issued for fish processing. Carried 4 yes / 0 no.*

- D. **CFS LLC Conditional Use Request: Construct / Operate Private Airstrip & 20 Hangars** (described as a proposed 4000 x 60 foot runway running north and south (18/36)): on a 5.71-acre parcel; also included in this request are 20 proposed hangars (footprint of the average 60x60 hangars would encompass (60x60x20/43560 sq ft per acre) on a 1.65-acre parcel. Property is

described as through western portions of the following parcels: the NW ¼ of the NW ¼ (part of parcel ID# 04-046-2-51-04-33-2 02-000-10000); the SW ¼ of the NW ¼ (part of parcel ID 04-046-2-51-04-33-2-03-000-10000); and the NW ¼ of the SW ¼ (part of ID# 04-046-2-51-04-33-3-02-000-10000); all in Section 33, Township 51 N, Range 4 W, Town of Russell, and the SW ¼ of the SW ¼ (part of parcel ID # 04-046-2-51-04-28-3-03-000-10000), Section 28, Township 51 N, Range 4 W, Town of Russell.

Miller stated he has considered the original zoning of property of Ag-1 and F-1, where airstrip is proposed, and sees no need for additional conditions; also reviewed 13-1-41(4)(a) 1 through 12 of the Bayfield County Zoning Ordinance and compared them to the items A through K in the applicant's binder, and was satisfied w/ that information. He added that he also reviewed the Town Board recommended statement of approval and compatibility with their comprehensive plan, therefore for all the reasons stated, he made a motion to approve the conditional use permit, contingent upon FAA, DNR, Army Corps, and any other legal requirements that have to be met, that no permits be issued until completely satisfied. Motion seconded by *Jardine*. No further discussion: **Roll call vote was taken: Rantala: yes; Jardine: yes; Miller: yes; Rondeau: yes; carried 4 yes / 0 no.**

Agenda Review & Alteration:

E. Wm. / Jayne Ammend Conditional Use Request Bar/Tavern/Food Service (tabled 8/19 & 9/16) (Delta) Applicant was not present to speak. *Kastrosky* reported the Town tabled this; reviewed it w/ their Plan; Planning Commission did not recommend approval; in Sept. the Town Board voted to table; we have not received any more sheets since Sept. 17th, and their minutes from October are not here. *Sheree Bye* stated the Town called a special meeting to consider this item; that meeting tabled it and it went back on the regular meeting agenda where it was unanimously denied. *Kastrosky* reviewed [Town] minutes on file (Sept. 15 & Sept. 21). *Miller* questioned if it is legal for the Town to make a decision that there are enough bars in the area. *Kastrosky* said sometimes the findings of a town might be "a little shaky but it is their call". *Jardine* stated the number of bars is regulated by the State depending on the number of residents. *AZA Furtak* said liquor licenses are bound by statute but beer / wine differs. *Kastrosky* noted the Applicant was not present, was not at the Town meeting, it has been tabled two times. Motion by *Jardine / Rantala* "to deny, in going along w/ the Town"; carried 4 yes / 0 no.

F. Chris / Sandra Beeksma Special Use Request- Hobby Farm (2 goats / 2 chickens) Parcel # 04-016-2-46-18-03-4 00-143-14000) located in Lot 12, Cedar Crest Plat, Section 3, Township 45N, Range 8 W, Town of Delta.

Sandy / Chris Beeksma stated they have two dwarf goats; they investigated prior to getting them, talked to neighbors-- the only concern that came up was a fear that some neighborhood dogs might hurt the goats. They went to Delta township to see if it would be a problem; answer was "no" but recommended they check with the County; they searched the [County] web site and didn't find anything; they said they were "up front" with this; the goats live in the yard and winter in the garage.

Motion by *Miller / Rantala* to approve. Discussion: *AZA Casina* noted this application came about as it was "complaint-driven"; the County Classification List considers livestock farm animals; goats require a hobby farm permit. *Casina* also noted the Beeksmas are on a lake in a residential zone. *Miller / Rantala* amended the motion to approve w/ contingency they may not exceed two (2) goats and two (2) chickens; carried 4 yes / 0 no.

G. Duane / Barbara Lahti Special Use Permit –Historic Museum (relocation / reconstruction of homestead): 20-acre parcel (#04-038-248-09-27-4 04-000-10000) in E ½, SE ¼, SE ¼, Section 27, Township 48 N, Range 9 w, town of Oulu.

Duane Lahti said their purpose for this project is to preserve historic buildings (this is his grandparents' home which is on the Register of Historic Places); for school groups, and others to enjoy. Motion by Jardine / Rantala to approve; carried 4 yes / 0 no.

H. Discussion / Possible Action RE Tabled Items: *Kastrosky* noted there was nothing to discuss.

I. Discussion / Possible Action – Comp Planning: *Kastrosky* said they finally received all the CDs / paper plans in the office, they are corrected, going to the towns. The CDs have been sent to Dept. of Admin. for approval; Land records will print 30 hard copies of maps for the towns involved.

11. Monthly Report: *Kastrosky* reported the Dept. is down \$22,000 in revenue from 2009; land use permits are down 53 and sanitary 21. **Jardine / Rantala** moved to approve as prepared; carried.

12. Budget / Revenue: *Kastrosky* stated hopefully the budget will be approved next Tues. night.

13. Adjournment: Meeting adjourned at 3:57 PM.

Karl L. Kastrosky, Director
Bayfield County Planning / Zoning Department

Prepared by mjj on 11/09/2010
Approved by KKK on 11/12/2010

Cc: ZC, County Board / Admin./ Clerk; DNR; Web
K/zc/minutes/2010/#10Oct.