

MINUTES
BAYFIELD COUNTY PLANNING / ZONING COMMITTEE
Board Room, County Courthouse – Washburn, WI
Public Hearing / Meeting – April 21, 2011 - 4:00 PM

1. **CALL TO ORDER OF PUBLIC HEARING:** *By Chairman Brett Rondeau at 4:00 PM.*
2. **ROLL CALL:** *Jardine, Maki, Miller, Rondeau, all present; Rantala, absent.*
3. **AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION:** *Presented by Director Karl Kastrosky.*
4. **REVIEW OF MEETING FORMAT:** *By Chairman Rondeau.*
5. **PUBLIC HEARING:**
 - A. **DEL JEROME RECLAMATION PLAN:** 14.70–acre parcel (ID# 04-018-2-45-08-33-2-04-000-20000), described as N ½ of the SE ¼ of the NW ¼, less E 350' in V 922 P. 11, Section 33, Township 45 North, Range 8 West, Town of Drummond.

*Del Jerome reported this is for a small addition to an existing pit; there will be a one-to-two digs and then will clean up the site. No one spoke in support or opposition. **File Report:** Director Kastrosky stated plan approval from Land Conservation Dept. was approved 04/07/2011.*
 - B. **DEL JEROME NON-METALLIC MINE:** 14.70–acre parcel (ID# 04-018-2-45-08-33-2-04-000-20000), described as N ½ of the SE ¼ of the NW ¼, less E 350' in V 922 P. 11, Section 33, Township 45 North, Range 8 West, Town of Drummond.

*Del Jerome stated there was nothing additional to report. No one spoke in support or opposition. **File Report:** Kastrosky stated the Town of Drummond approved; it is compatible w/ their plan, noting there is a limited supply of gravel in the area.*
 - C. **VENSTONE LLC RECLAMATION PLAN** 80–acre parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-08-15-2-01-000-10000) described as NE ¼ of the NW ¼ and the SE ¼ of the NW ¼ (aka: E ½ of the NW ¼), Section 15, Township 47 North, Range 8 West, Town of Iron River. Agent **Ralph Frostman** said he they submitted a revised plan (t the one approved ten years ago). No one spoke in support or opposition. **File Report:** Kastrosky stated the Northern Environmental rec plan of 2004 was received 04/12/2011 and approved by Land Conservation.
 - D. **VENSTONE LLC CONTINUATION NON-METALLIC MINE CONDITIONAL USE:** Continue operation of non-metallic mine on 80–acre parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-08-15-2-01-000-10000) described as NE ¼ of the NW ¼ and the SE ¼ of the NW ¼ (aka: E ½ of the NW ¼), Section 15, Township 47 North, Range 8 West, Town of Iron River.

Agent **Ralph Frostman** reported the pit has been in existence since 2004 with a ten year duration on the conditional use permit and asked why it was approved for only ten years. He requested the Committee to approve for the duration of the pit. Frostman noted acreage is 80 acres with 12 acres for a landfill in the middle. No one spoke in support / opposition. **File Report:** **Kastrosky** said the Town approved, noting compatibility w/ their Plan.

- E. MATHY CONSTRUCTION CO / RICHARD FORSYTHE RECLAMATION PLAN:** 39-acre parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-06-36-3-04-000-10000), described as the SE ¼ of the SW ¼, Section 36, Township 47 N, Range 8 W, Town of Iron River.

Agent **Rick Forsythe** noted this is the Crooked Lake Pit with more than one conditional use on the property. No one spoke in support / opposition. **File Report:** **Kastrosky** reported the Plan was received in March, 2011, with revisions received / approved 04/06/2011.

- F. MATHY CONSTRUCTION CO / RICHARD FORSYTHE NON-METALLIC MINE CONDITIONAL USE REQUEST Non-Metallic Mine:** 39-acre parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-06-36-3-04-000-10000), described as the SE ¼ of the SW ¼, Section 36, Township 47 N, Range 8 W, Town of Iron River.

Forsythe said this is a continuation of where they are working. No one spoke in support / opposition. **File Report:** **Kastrosky** said the Town approved; it is compatible w/ their Plan. **Supervisor Jardine** wanted to know why some pits have ten year durations, and why some are open ended, etc. **Kastrosky** stated there are variables such as pits near residential areas, noise and/or traffic issues, etc.; sometimes various time limits are justified.

- G. WILDERNESS INQUIRY (Owner) / DAVID KINGMAN (Agent) EIA (Environmental Impact Analysis):** 20-acre parcel (ID# 04-046-2-52-04-33-2-03-000-15000), described as the W ½ of the SW ¼ of the NW ¼, and a 20-acre parcel (ID# 04-46-2-52-04-33-2-03-033-12500), described as the E ½ of the SW ¼ of the NW ¼, all in Section 33, Township 52 N, Range 4 West, Town of Russell.

Greg Lais (Chairman, Wilderness Inquiry) stated 20 acres were purchased in 2007 (Little Sand Bay Rd.); in 2009 additional acreage was purchased, uniting as one 40; request is to extend the conditional use permit for additional campsites / privies / picnic shelters / future yurt sites to allow up to 150 participants at one time at this private camp. No one spoke in support / opposition. **File Report:** **Kastrosky** noted this is a continuation of the original EIA which was approved with the original conditional use, but now encompasses an additional 20 acres.

- H. WILDERNESS INQUIRY (Owner) DAVID KINGMAN (Agent) CONDITIONAL USE REQUEST - EXPANSION OF EXISTING (2007) PRIVATE CAMPGROUND** 20-acre parcel (ID# 04-046-2-52-04-33-2-03-000-15000), described as the W ½ of the SW ¼ of the NW ¼, and a 20-acre parcel (ID# 04-46-2-52-04-33-2-03-033-12500), described as the E ½ of the SW ¼ of the NW ¼, all in Section 33, Township 52 N, Range 4 West, Town of Russell. (To develop an additional 20-acres to allow up to 150 participants at one time. Expansion will include construction of a storage bldg (3600 sq. ft.); two composting privies; a large group campsite; standard campsite, two covered picnic shelters; three yurt sites; parking area and a new road/path.)

David Kingman reported there was nothing additional to report. No one spoke in support or opposition. **File Report:** *Kastrosky* said the Town approved, it is compatible w/ their Plan and consistent with other uses in the area and future land use map.

- I. **FIVE JOHNSONS LLC / GARY JOHNSON (Agent) – REZONE F-1 TO C:** 0.29-acre parcel (ID #04-024-2-47-08-11-3-3-02-000-31000), located in NW SW less RR ROW and LESS Hwy & LESS PAR in V. 900 P. 498 and LESS PAR in V. 1045 P. 530 in V. 1056 P. 318; their 32.86-acre parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-08-11-3-000-11000), located in the SW SW LESS HWY in V. 1005 P. 841+ & LESS that part lying N of HWY in V. 1056 P. 318; and a parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-08-11-3-04-000-11000), located in SE SW LESS HWY in V. 656 P. 293 & LESS that portion N of Hwy in V. 1056 P. 318, all located in Section 11, Township 47 North, Range 8 West, Town of Iron River.

Director Kastrosky said Gary Johnson called reporting denial by the Town of Iron River based upon inconsistency with their Plan and land use map. *Kastrosky* stated he had advised Mr. Johnson to talk with the Town and planning commission ahead of time so he would not set himself up for failure. He did so, however, in the interim some members changed on the Board; the vote was to deny. No one spoke in support / opposition.

Rick Forsythe (Iron River Planning Commission) stated the area is rural / forested, with nothing commercial around-- it would be 'spot zoning'. He said the Johnsons felt they would be able to sell the property for more if zoning changed—there was no pressing need or plans for a rezone. *Forsythe* noted this is in the same area the Vernon's/Northern Clearing was denied in the recent past. *Kastrosky* reminded Mr. Forsythe that if the rezone had been supported, the future land use plan would be required to change the map. No one spoke in support / opposition.

6. **ADJOURNMENT OF PUBLIC HEARING:** Motion by *Jardine / Miller* at 4:25 PM. Carried 4 yes / 0 no.
7. **CALL TO ORDER OF PLANNING / ZONING COMMITTEE MEETING:** 4:25 PM by Chairman Rondeau.
8. **ROLL CALL:** *Jardine, Maki, Miller, Rondeau-* all present; *Rantala,* absent.
9. **MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING(S):** Motion by *Miller / Maki* to approve the 03/17/2011 without additions / corrections; carried 4 yes / 0 no.
10. **BUSINESS:**
 - A. **DEL JEROME RECLAMATION PLAN:** 14.70-acre parcel (ID# 04-018-2-45-08-33-2-04-000-20000), described as N ½ of the SE ¼ of the NW ¼, less E 350' in V 922 P. 11, Section 33, Township 45 North, Range 8 West, Town of Drummond.

Rondeau announced he would not vote, however would be available for discussion, as Mr. Jerome is a family member. *Jardine / Miller* made a motion to approve the Rec Plan. Discussion: *Jardine* questioned who approves the Plan. *Kastrosky* noted that Land Conservation reviews/approves. *Jardine / Miller* withdrew the motion. *Miller / Maki* made a motion to approve; carried w/ 2 yes / 1 no (*Jardine*) 1 abstained (*Rondeau*).

- B. DEL JEROME CONDITIONAL USE REQUEST- NON-METALLIC MINE:** 14.70-acre parcel (ID# 04-018-2-45-08-33-2-04-000-20000), described as N ½ of the SE ¼ of the NW ¼, less E 350' in V 922 P. 11, Section 33, Township 45 North, Range 8 West, Town of Drummond.

Motion by Miller / Maki to approve for ten years as it was approved by the Town and consistent with their comp plan. No further discussion: motion carried 2 yes / 1 no (Jardine) 1 abstained (Rondeau).

- C. VENSTONE RECLAMATION PLAN:** 80-acre parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-08-15-2-01-000-10000) described as NE ¼ of the NW ¼ and the SE ¼ of the NW ¼ (aka: E ½ of the NW ¼), Section 15, Township 47 North, Range 8 West, Town of Iron River. : *Motion by Maki / Miller to approve. After further discussion about the area, motion carried, 4 yes / 0 no.*

- D. VENSTONE CONDITIONAL USE REQUEST – Non-Metallic Mine** 80-acre parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-08-15-2-01-000-10000) described as NE ¼ of the NW ¼ and the SE ¼ of the NW ¼ (aka: E ½ of the NW ¼), Section 15, Township 47 North, Range 8 West, Town of Iron River.

Ralph Frostman again stated he has a problem with a time limit on the pit. He noted he has approximately 20 acres open at one time and they reclaim areas as they use them; it is zoned Industrial. Miller believed it was important to place durations on mines as changes can occur over time. Following discussion, a 20-year duration was decided upon; it was noted the Town did not place a duration on their approval. Motion by Miller / Maki to approve this request for a twenty (20) year duration; carried 4 yes / 0 no.

- E. MATHY CONSTRUCTION CO. (Owner) RICHARD FORSYTHE (Agent) RECLAMATION PLAN:** 39-acre parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-06-36-3-04-000-10000), described as the SE ¼ of the SW ¼, Section 36, Township 47 N, Range 8 W, Town of Iron River. *Motion by Maki / Miller to approve; carried 4 yes / 0 no.*

- F. MATHY CONSTRUCTION CO. (Owner) RICHARD FORSYTHE (Agent) CONDITIONAL USE REQUEST – Non-metallic Mine:** 39-acre parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-06-36-3-04-000-10000), described as the SE ¼ of the SW ¼, Section 36, Township 47 N, Range 8 W, Town of Iron River.

Kastrofsky noted all activities (i.e. washing, screening, broadened uses for gravel pits) except for redi-mix plants which would require a separate permit, are considered to be included in non-metallic mine permits. Forsythe said some of his permits have been approved for ten years, a few from the 1980s are lifetime, and he would be happy with a 20-year approval. He also said the nearest neighbor is a couple miles away with one hunting cabin a bit closer. Motion by Maki / Jardine to approve for a twenty (20) year duration; carried 4 yes / 0 no.

- G. WILDERNESS INQUIRY (Owner) DAVID KINGMAN (Agent) ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS - EXPANSION OF EXISTING (2007) PRIVATE CAMPGROUND** 20-acre parcel (ID# 04-046-2-52-04-33-2-03-000-15000), described as the W ½ of the SW ¼ of the NW ¼, and a 20-acre

parcel (ID# 04-46-2-52-04-33-2-03-033-12500), described as the E ½ of the SW ¼ of the NW ¼, all in Section 33, Township 52 N, Range 4 West, Town of Russell.

AZA Doug Casina reported this is an expansion to existing use in a sparsely populated area. Motion by **Miller / Maki** to approve the EIA. Carried 4 yes / 0 no.

- H. WILDERNESS INQUIRY (Owner) DAVID KINGMAN (Agent) CONDITIONAL USE REQUEST – Expansion of Existing (2007) Private Campground** on 20-acre parcel (ID# 04-046-2-52-04-33-2-03-000-15000), described as the W ½ of the SW ¼ of the NW ¼, and a 20-acre parcel (ID# 04-46-2-52-04-33-2-03-033-12500), described as the E ½ of the SW ¼ of the NW ¼, all in Section 33, Township 52 N, Range 4 West, Town of Russell. (To develop additional 20-acres to allow up to 150 participants at one time. Expansion will include construction of a storage bldg (3600 sq. ft.); two composting privies; a large group campsite; standard campsite, two covered picnic shelters; 3 yurt sites; parking area and new road/path.)

It was explained that per State code, one privy must be present per every ten persons. **Casina** noted the number of vaulted privies will be increased on site and the Applicants are working with the Dept. of Health; site is under County regulations also w/ inspections by the Sanitarian. Motion by **Maki / Miller** to approve; no further discussion; carried 4 yes / 0 no.

- I. FIVE JOHNSONS LLC / GARY JOHNSON (Agent) – REZONE F-1 TO C:** 0.29-acre parcel (ID #04-024-2-47-08-11-3-3-02-000-31000), located in NW SW less RR ROW and LESS Hwy & LESS PAR in V. 900 P. 498 and LESS PAR in V. 1045 P. 530 in V. 1056 P. 318; their 32.86-acre parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-08-11-3-000-11000), located in the SW SW LESS HWY in V. 1005 P. 841+ & LESS that part lying N of HWY in V. 1056 P. 318; and a parcel (ID# 04-024-2-47-08-11-3-04-000-11000), located in SE SW LESS HWY in V. 656 P. 293 & LESS that portion N of Hwy in V. 1056 P. 318, all located in Section 11, Township 47 North, Range 8 West, Town of Iron River.

FIVE JOHNSONS REZONE F-1 TO C: Motion by **Miller / Maki** to deny based upon the Town's denial, and not meeting the Town comp plan criteria. Carried 4 yes / 0 no.

AGENDA REVIEW / ALTERATION:

- J. WAYNE NELSON HOME-BASED BUSINESS (Contractor Equipment, Material Storage / Maintenance :** **Wayne Nelson** reported he has met on site w/ property owners as the Zoning Committee requested, they were able to walk the site; he has consolidated items on the property; has two semi-loads delivered to Chicago Iron; there are some tires yet to be dealt with; there are some things which they did not reach agreement on [he & the neighbors].

Bill Bussey, attorney for Eagle Bluff property owners' group (Stewart Gregg, John Lindell, Gary Madson) presented a brief conditional use permit history (from 1991 to date) and reminded the Committee of their [January 20, 2011] decision to deny the request presented at that time and for Mr. Nelson to meet w/ property owners to attempt to work out a solution within 90 days and report back to the Zoning Committee. **Bussey** presented copies of letters from John Lindell

[letters were unsigned / undated and addressed to the Bayfield [Town] Plan Commission and Town Board] and a March 31, 2011 letter [unsigned; addressed to Eagle Bluff neighbors] from Stewart Gregg, President; John Lindell, Vice President, and Gary Madson, Secretary. These documents had not previously been received by Bayfield County Planning / Zoning Dept.

Bussey reported the neighbors and Nelson met on several occasions; some agreements were reached but not all. They agreed that the location / storage site will be staked and will be no more than ½ acre in size; berming is to be increased; a new location will be sought to relocate the storage materials within a two (2) year period. They also agreed they would not oppose the current special use application [home-based business] if Nelson ultimately moved his operation elsewhere and agreed to complete certain actions to mitigate the adverse effects of his operation. **Bussey** also reported that there are primary issues which remain unresolved: 1) Request that revised permit be conditioned to a list of allowed equipment as he has had for the past 20 years, rather than ‘types of equipment’. 2) Request the proposed special use permit be time-limited. 3) Request condition of issuance be voiding of existing permit.

Bussey noted the Town has agreed to assist in finding Nelson a new location and the Town Board also placed conditions of approval as follows: 1) Existing permit (1991) is terminated upon approval of the new permit. 2) New special use permit will expire in two years from date of approval. 3) Designated storage area permit-area-perimeter, as outlined on applicant’s attached map, will be staked out to define the boundary and will be no larger than 0 .5-acre in size. 4) Additional and/or higher berming will be done by the applicant to better obscure the storage area from the road. **Bussey** added his clients are OK with these and feel in light of the history, two years is an adequate condition in this residential area.

Director Kastrosky questioned the interpretation of the two-year sunset condition. The application is for a home-based business which also allows for a home office plus storage. He asked if the intent of the property owners and the Town is to also eliminate the home office in two years. He needs to be able to operate an office, but ‘material storage’ is the issue.

Jack Beagan (Planning Commission Chairman) stated the ‘sunset’ is intended to be an attempt for Mr. Nelson to find other storage. The Planning Commission and Town Board are committed to work w/ him in finding another location. He said the long-term plan is future residences and the storage is not consistent with that. **Bussey** said the 2-year sunset clause is for the original ½-acre.

Wayne Nelson, ‘Rebuttal’: He said he has utilized the original permit; they just want him ‘out of the area’; goal was to make the property owners happy. **Kastrosky** reported on a file letter from Dave Lee (then Zoning Administrator) who is on record making it clear a home-based business should be allowed, it was ‘spelled out’.

Chairman Rondeau stated they need to decide if they are enforcing the 1991 permit. **Miller** said Nelson is working towards compliance by moving the location to the ‘current new site’. **Kastrosky** reminded the Committee they denied the last request and gave direction of 90 days to attempt settlement/agreement and as that 1991 permit has been denied, what is before them is

to consider the home-based business including the 0.5-acre new location as proposed, and to deal w/ the sunset clause.

Bussey said his clients' position for the special use is for it to expire in two years from the date of approval, unless denied by the Zoning Committee; to make good-faith efforts in proceeding; and to terminate this if Nelson does not find a location.

Jardine said he does not like the term. **Kastrofsky** said they are not eliminating it but giving two years to find a new place. He also said part of 'land use' is to reduce conflicts which is not easy but a decision must be made.

Motion by Miller to terminate the 1991 permit; adopt a new permit for the home-based business subsequent to the new ½-acre for equipment, which is to be confined to functional material and functional equipment and machinery, but not turn it into a junk yard. Have "no sunset clause"; continue to monitor; review in two years to see if in compliance. Allow until June 15, 2011 to place equipment onto the ½-acre and to increase additional, higher berming.
Motion seconded by **Jardine**; carried 4 yes / 0 no.

- K. JEREMY / KELLIANN CLAPERO SPECIAL USE REQUEST – Child Care / Day Care Facility** (existing) on 1.25 +/- acre parcel (04-002-2-48-05-24-1 05-001-10000) Govt Lot 1-2, Section 24, Township 48 N, Range 5 W, Town of Barksdale.

Kelliann Clapero reported she has been open as a 'certified' daycare center since 2008 and became a 'licensed' daycare last summer. She worked with the County Health Dept. and was told nothing else was needed, therefore, did not know she was to obtain a permit from Zoning. She said she has set specific daycare drop-off and pick-up times because of her driveway/parking situation and is on a busy highway. Motion by **Miller / Maki** to **approve**. **File Report:** The Town approved; it is consistent w/ their land use plan. Motion carried, 4 yes / 0 no.

- L. DONALD DYMESICH/ERICK DYMESICH SPECIAL USE REQUEST Home-Based Business (Dried food goods store)** on 40-acre parcel (#04-032-2-46-06-08-3 04-000-10000) in SE ¼ of SW ¼ Section 8, Township 46 N, Range 6 W, Town of Mason.

Applicant was not present. **Kastrofsky** reported the Town approved, however, did not check the form if it was reviewed for compatibility w/ their land use plan. **Mike Furtak** said there is a large pole building with a small portion used as a residence. They would like to sell dry goods/food mostly for convenience of the 'locals'. Motion by **Maki / Jardine** to **approve**. Motion carried 4 yes / 0 no.

- M. DAVID STRZOK SPECIAL USE REQUEST – Hobby Farm Extension** (8 Beef Cattle / 24 Chickens): 6.087-acre parcel (#04-008-2-49-04-05-1 00-145-6000) in Govt Lot 1, Lots 9-18, Chequamegon Springs, Section 5, Township 49 N, Range 4 W, Town of Bayview.

Applicant was not present. **Harold Maki** stated having cattle on 1.50 acres on the lakeside of a curve on Hwy. 13 is a good idea. **Kastrofsky** reported the Town did not approve the cattle at that

location, only up to 24 chickens. Motion by **Jardine / Maki** to approve the special use for up to 24 chickens, no cattle. Discussion: **Grant Scholen** (neighbor) stated he supports the decision for chickens but no cattle (due to concern about manure odor). Motion carried 4 yes / 0 no.

- N. KARL KASTROSKY SPECIAL USE REQUEST – Home-Based Business** (restore cars, boats, etc.) on 9.0-acre parcel (#04-012-2-43-07-20-2 04-000-20000), SE ¼, NW ¼, Section 20, Township 43 N, Range 7 W, Town of Cable.

Request was approved by the Town as compatible w/ their comp plan; they support home-based business; approval is contingent upon no more than three (3) inoperable or unlicensed vehicles, excluding personal vehicles, parked in Applicant's yard at one time. Motion by **Jardine / Maki** to approve with condition that there be no more than three (3) inoperable or unlicensed vehicles, excluding personal vehicles, parked in applicant's yard at one time. Carried 4 yes / 0 no.

11. OTHER BUSINESS:

- O. DISCUSSION / POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING WAIVING EIA FOR COUNTY GRAVEL PIT NAMAKAGON:**

Kastrosky said the Ordinance requires an Environmental Impact Analysis if in a shoreland zone. The existing pit is separated by two county roads. The DNR waived their EIS (Environmental Impact Study) based on site conditions. He said the Zoning Committee can also waive this, in writing, for next month's meeting, if desired. **Furtak** explained the location/site on a hill, plans to use the gravel, cut/slope the hill, and close the pit. He said the County then plans to sell at least three lake lots. Motion by **Maki / Jardine** to waive the EIA for this pit; carried 4 yes / 0 no.

- P. DISCUSSION / POSSIBLE ACTION RE SECRETARIAL POSITION:** **Kastrosky** discussed Marilyn Jaeger's retirement [April 29, 2011] noting he has been advocating for filling the position but has not gotten an answer. He reported the Zoning Dept. already lost one field position with Travis going to Land Conservation; the busy season has started and Marilyn issues all the land use and sanitary permits, someone is needed to take the Zoning Committee minutes. **Kastrosky** also said the position could possibly be less hours in the winter months, and more when busy, but the Dept. needs this position.

- Q. DISCUSSION / POSSIBLE ACTION - COMP PLANNING:** **Kastrosky** said we are in good standing w/ the Dept. of Admin.; still not ready to close this out with SEH; in December there will be a review; we have withheld money until complete; there has been no word from them. The Committee felt Karl should check in with them. The Committee also had questions why SEH was hired by the County Hwy. Dept for a gravel pit in Namakagon when there have been problems w/ them.

- R. DISCUSSION / POSSIBLE ACTION - NR115:** **Kastrosky** reported / updated the Committee; he is unsure what will happen w/ the Governor's / Secretary's plans; there are recommendations from the County Code Administrators w/ solutions to problems and they are willing to listen. He noted per diems and mileage has been paid to the County for his expenses as well as a \$5000 grant.

S. LINE ITEM TRANSFER LEGAL EXPENSES: *Kastrosky stated he has spoken to Mark Abeles-Allison regarding Zoning's legal budget expense being 'nearly spent'. Mark said possibly \$5,000 can be transferred from the contingency fund back to 'legal'.*

T. CFS WRIT OF CERTIORARI/LEGAL ISSUES: *Kastrosky said the group Citizens for Responsible Land Use wants to file a motion to intervene. He asked the Committee about intervening and said if CFS/Shadow Wood wants to testify, then they should 'foot the bill'. The Committee agreed and said this didn't come to a vote at the County Board level. It was noted the Judge's upcoming decision was delayed for more information; and there will be a substitute judge for the hearing.*

12. MONTHLY REPORT: *No monthly report was presented.*

13. BUDGET / REVENUE: *Kastrosky reported the mitigation database is complete.*

14. ADJOURNMENT: *At 6:15 PM.*

**Karl L. Kastrosky, Director
Bayfield County Planning / Zoning Dept.**

Prepared by mjj on 04/26/2011

Approved by KLK on 04/26/2011

Cc: Committee, Supervisors, County Admin./Clerk; DNR; Web

K/ZC/Minutes/2011/#4Apr